VITROS Immunodiagnostic	REF	619 7735
Products B•R•A•H•M•S PCT		
Reagent Pack VITROS	REF	619 5575
<u>Immunodiagnostic Products</u>		
B•R•A•H•M•S PCT Calibrators		

**RX ONLY** 

#### Intended Use

For in vitro diagnostic use only.

#### VITROS Immunodiagnostic Products B•R•A•H•M•S PCT Reagent Pack

For the quantitative measurement of procalcitonin (PCT) in human serum and plasma (lithium heparin and EDTA) using the VITROS ECi/ECiQ/3600 Immunodiagnostic Systems and the VITROS 5600/XT 7600 Integrated Systems. Used in conjunction with other laboratory findings and clinical assessments, the VITROS B•R•A+H•M•S PCT test is intended for use as follows:

• to aid in the risk assessment of critically ill patients on their first day of ICU admission for progression to severe sepsis and septic shock,

• to aid in assessing the cumulative 28-day risk of all-cause mortality for patients diagnosed with severe sepsis or septic shock in the ICU or when obtained in the emergency department or other medical wards prior to ICU admission, using a change in PCT level over time,

• to aid in decision making on antibiotic therapy for patients with suspected or confirmed lower respiratory tract infections (LRTI) defined as community-acquired pneumonia (CAP), acute bronchitis, and acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (AECOPD) – in an inpatient setting or an emergency department,

• to aid in decision making on antibiotic discontinuation for patients with suspected or confirmed sepsis.

# VITROS Immunodiagnostic Products B•R•A•H•M•S PCT Calibrators

For use in the calibration of the VITROS ECi/ECiQ/3600 Immunodiagnostic Systems and the VITROS 5600/XT 7600 Integrated Systems for the quantitative measurement of procalcitonin (PCT) in human serum and plasma (lithium heparin and EDTA).

# Warnings and Precautions – Test Interpretation

- VITROS B•R•A·H•M•S PCT is not indicated to be used as a stand-alone diagnostic test and should be used in conjunction with clinical signs and symptoms of infection and other diagnostic evidence. In cases where the laboratory results do not agree with the clinical picture or history, additional tests should be performed.
- Decisions regarding antibiotic therapy should NOT be based solely on procalcitonin concentrations.
- PCT results should always be interpreted in the context of the clinical status of the patient and other laboratory results.

- Changes in PCT levels for the prediction of mortality, and overall mortality, are strongly dependent on many factors, including pre-existing patient risk factors and clinical course.

  The need to continue Intensive Care Unit (ICU) care at Day 4 and other covariates (e.g., age and Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA) score) are also significant predictors of 28-day cumulative mortality risk.

  The safety and performance of PCT-guided therapy for individuals younger than age 18 years, pregnant women, immunocompromised individuals or those on immunomodulatory agents, was not formally analyzed in the supportive clinical trials.
- Severity of renal failure or insufficiency, may influence procalcitonin values and should be considered as potentially confounding clinical factors when interpreting PCT values.
- PCT levels may not be elevated in patients infected by certain atypical pathogens, such as Chlamydophila pneumoniae and Mycoplasma pneumoniae.

# Increased PCT levels may not always be related to systemic infection. <sup>3-5</sup> Patients with increased PCT levels due to other conditions include, but are not limited to:

- Patients experiencing major trauma and/or recent surgical procedure including extracorporeal circulation or burns;
- Patients under treatment with OKT3 antibodies, OK-432, interleukins, TNF-alpha and other drugs stimulating the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines or resulting in anaphylaxis;
- Patients diagnosed with active medullary C-cell carcinoma, small cell lung carcinoma, or bronchial carcinoid;
- Patients with acute or chronic viral hepatitis and/or decompensated severe liver cirrhosis (Child-Pugh Class C);

- Patients with prolonged or severe cardiogenic shock, prolonged severe organ perfusion anomalies or after resuscitation from cardiac arrest;
- · Patients receiving peritoneal dialysis or hemodialysis treatment;
- Patients with biliary pancreatitis, chemical pneumonitis or heat stroke;
- Patients with invasive fungal infections (e.g. candidiasis, aspergillosis) or acute attacks of plasmodium falciparum malaria; and
- Neonates during the first 2 days of life.

# **Summary and Explanation of the Test**

Sepsis and its complications is a global healthcare problem, characterized by whole body inflammation in response to microbial infection, which may lead to organ dysfunction. <sup>6</sup>. <sup>7</sup> The severity of sepsis correlates with mortality. <sup>8</sup> <sup>12</sup> Procalcitonin (PCT) is a peptide precursor for the hormone calcitonin, the latter being involved with calcium homeostasis. The prohormone has 116 amino acids and is comprised of the following regions: a 57-amino acid sequence at the amino terminus, the centrally positioned immature calcitonin that contains a terminal glycine; and a 21-amino acid calcitonin carboxyterminus peptide. <sup>13</sup> Calcitonin has a short half-life of 10 minutes while procalcitonin has a relatively longer half-life of 25 to 30 hours. <sup>14</sup> Procalcitonin is known to be produced by the parafollicular cells of the thyroid, but it is also secreted from neuroendocrine cells of the lung and intestine. The latter two sources of procalcitonin provide its true clinical utility, as they increase its production in response to a proinflammatory stimulus, particularly when the stimulus is of bacterial origin. <sup>12</sup>, <sup>15</sup>

In healthy people, plasma procalcitonin concentrations are found to be low. <sup>16</sup> Procalcitonin levels rise rapidly within 6–12 hours after an infectious bacterial insult. The magnitude of the increase in plasma procalcitonin concentration correlates with the severity of the bacterial infection with concentrations above defined cutoffs to indicate clinically relevant bacterial infection, requiring antibiotic treatment. <sup>1</sup> The relief of the septic infection is accompanied by a decrease in procalcitonin concentration which returns to normal within 24 hours. <sup>17</sup> The continuous decline of procalcitonin is indicative of effective source control measures and has been used to guide safe discontinuation of antibiotic therapy. <sup>19,20</sup> Also, low procalcitonin concentrations at predefined cutoffs can identify patients without clinically relevant bacterial infections; in these individuals antibiotic therapy can be safely discontinued. <sup>1</sup> Therefore, measurement of procalcitonin concentrations may aid in the risk assessment of critically ill patients for progression to severe sepsis and septic shock and the change of procalcitonin levels over time may also offer information about the risk of mortality after diagnosis of severe sepsis or septic shock. <sup>21,22</sup>

# **Principles of the Procedure**

The VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT test is performed using the VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT Reagent Pack and the VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT Calibrators on the VITROS ECi/ECiQ/3600 Immunodiagnostic Systems and the VITROS 5600/XT 7600 Integrated Systems using Intellicheck® Technology. A two-step immunometric technique is used, which involves the reaction of procalcitonin present in the sample with a biotinylated anti-procalcitonin antibody (rat monoclonal anti-procalcitonin) bound to streptavidin coated on a microwell in the first step. Unbound materials are removed by washing. The second step involves the reaction of antigen-antibody complex with a horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-labeled antibody conjugate (mouse monoclonal anti-procalcitonin). Unbound materials are removed by washing. The bound HRP conjugate is measured by a luminescent reaction. <sup>23</sup> A reagent containing luminogenic substrates (a luminol derivative and a peracid salt) and an electron transfer agent, is added to the wells. The HRP in the bound conjugate catalyzes the oxidation of the luminol derivative, producing light. The electron transfer agent (a substituted acctanilide) increases the level of light produced and prolongs its emission. The light signals are read by the system. The amount of HRP conjugate bound is directly proportional to the concentration of procalcitonin present.

Test Type	System *	Incubation Time	Time to first result	Test Temperature	Reaction Sample Volume
Immunometric Immunoassay	ECi/ECiQ, 3600, 5600, XT 7600	13 minutes 20 seconds	24 minutes	37 °C	30 µL

<sup>\*</sup> Not all products and systems are available in all countries.

2 of 22

Luminescence

**PROCEDURE** 

#### Reaction Scheme

Streptavidin/Biotinylated Anti-PCT Coated Well Signal Reagent With Enhancer Biotinylated HRP-labeled monoclonal Procalcitonin monoclonal antibody antibody

# **Warnings and Precautions**



Use caution when handling material of human origin. Consider all samples potentially infectious. Notest method can offer complete assurance that he patitis B virus, he patitis C virus (HCV), human immuno deficiency virus (HIV 1+2) or other infectious affents are absent. Handle, use, store and dispose of solid and liquid waste from samples and test components, in accordance with procedures defined by appropriate national biohazard safety guideline or regulation (e.g. CLSI document M29).

#### **WARNING:** Contains ProClin 950 (CAS 2682-20-4) 25

The VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT Reagent Pack and VITROS B•R•A•H•PCT Calibrators contain 0.5% and 0.466% ProClin 950 respectively. May cause an allergic skin reaction. P280: Wear protective gloves. F7352: IFON SKIN: Wash with plenty of soap and water. P333 + P313 irritation or rash occurs: Get medical advice/attention. P363: Wash contaminated clothing before reuse.

Refer to www.Orthoclinicaldiagnostics.com for the Safety Data Sheets and for Ortho contact information.

WARNING



# Reagents

## **Reagent Pack Contents**

1 reagent pack containing:

- 100 coated wells (rat monoclonal anti-procalcitonin antibody, 1.0 μg/mL)
- 10.20 mL assay reagent (buffer containing bovine gamma globulin, bovine serum albumin and antimicrobial agent)
- 13.10 mL conjugate reagent (HRP-conjugated mouse monoclonal procalcitonin antibody, 1.65 µg/mL in buffer with bovine serum albumin and antimicrobial agent)

#### Reagent Pack Handling

- The reagent pack is supplied ready for use.
- The reagent pack contains homogeneous liquid reagents that do not require shaking or mixing prior to loading onto the
- Handle the reagent pack with care. Avoid the following:
  - allowing condensation to form on the pack
  - causing reagents to foam
  - agitation of the pack

Reagent Pack Storage and Preparation

Reagent	Storage Condition		Stability
Unopened	Refrigerated	2–8 °C (36–46 °F)	expiration date
Opened	On system	System turned on	≤12 weeks
Opened	Refrigerated	2–8 °C (36–46 °F)	≤12 weeks

- The VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT Reagent Pack is suitable for use until the expiration date on the carton when stored and handled as specified. Do not use beyond the expiration date.
- Do not freeze reagent packs.
- Load reagent packs directly from refrigerated storage to minimize condensation.
- Opened reagent packs are moisture/humidity sensitive. Store opened refrigerated reagent packs in a sealed VITROS Immunodiagnostic Products Reagent Pack Storage Box with desiccant.

#### Calibrator Contents

- 3 sets of VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT Calibrators 1 and 2, 1.0 mL, procalcitonin in buffer with antimicrobial agent, nominal values 0.080 and 75.0 ng/mL ( $\mu$ g/L)
- Lot calibration card
- Protocol card
- 16 calibrator bar code labels (8 for each calibrator)

#### **Calibrator Handling**

- Use only with reagent packs of the same lot number, Mix thoroughly by inversion and bring to 15–30 °C (59–86 °F) before use. Each pack contains sufficient volume for a minimum of 6 determinations of each calibrator. Handle calibrators in original stoppered containers to avoid contamination and evaporation. To avoid evaporation, limit the amount of time calibrators are on the system. Refer to the operating instructions for your system. Return to 2–8 °C (36–46 °F) as soon as possible after use, or load only sufficient volume for a single determination.

**Calibrator Storage and Preparation** 

Calibrator	Sto	rage Condition	Stability
Unopened	Frozen	≤ <b>-</b> 20 °C (≤ <b>-</b> 4 °F)	expiration date
Opened	Refrigerated	2–8 °C (36–46 °F)	≤13 weeks
Opened	Frozen	≤-20 °C (≤-4 °F)	≤18 weeks

- VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT Calibrators are supplied frozen.
- VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT Calibrators are suitable for use until the expiration date on the carton when stored and handled as specified. Do not use beyond the expiration date.
- Opened calibrators may be stored frozen (with no more than 3 freeze-thaw cycles).
- The VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT test uses 30 µL of calibrator for each determination. Transfer an aliquot of each calibrator into a sample container (taking account of the minimum fill volume of the container), which may be bar coded with the labels provided. For details on minimum fill volume of sample cups or containers, refer to the operating instructions for your system.

# Specimen Collection, Preparation and Storage

#### **Patient Preparation**

No special patient preparation is necessary.

#### Specimens Recommended

- Serum (Serum Separator Tube (SST), Red Top)
- Plasma (lithium heparin (LiHep), lithium heparin gel separator (PST), EDTA)

#### Specimens Not Recommended

No specimen limitations were identified. Refer to the Limitations of the Procedure section.

#### Special Precautions

4 of 22

**IMPORTANT:** Certain collection devices have been reported to affect other analytes and tests. <sup>26</sup> Owing to the variety of specimen collection devices available, Ortho Clinical Diagnostics is unable to provide a definitive statement on the performance of its

products with these devices. Confirm that your collection devices are compatible with this test.

#### **Specimen Collection and Preparation**

- Collect specimens using standard procedures. 27
- Follow the instructions provided with your collection device for use and processing of the sample. 28
- Samples should be thoroughly separated from all cellular material. Failure to do so may lead to an erroneous result.
- The VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT test uses 30 µL of sample for each determination. This does not take account of the minimum fill volume of the chosen sample container. For details on minimum fill volume of sample cups or containers, refer to the operating instructions for your system.

#### **Handling and Storage Conditions**

- Handle samples in stoppered containers to avoid contamination and evaporation.
- Follow procedures within your laboratory to avoid cross contamination of patient specimens.
- The amount of time samples are on the system prior to analysis should be limited to avoid evaporation. Specimens on-board the VITROS ECi/ECiQ/3600 Immunodiagnostic Systems and the VITROS 5600/XT 7600 Integrated Systems should be tested within 3 hours. Refer to the operating instructions for your system.
- Return to 2–8 °C (36–46 °F) as soon as possible after use or load sufficient volume for a single determination.
- Serum and plasma samples may be stored for up to 24 hours at room temperature 15–30 °C (59–86 °F). Serum and plasma samples may be stored for up to 48 hours at 2–8 °C (36–46 °F).

  Samples that will not be tested within the time frames outlined above should be stored frozen at -20 °C (-4 °F). Serum and plasma samples tested initially and after 2 months of storage at -20 °C (-4 °F) showed no differences in clinical performance. Serum and plasma samples may be subjected to up to four freeze-thaw cycles.
- Thoroughly mix samples by inversion and bring to 15–30  $^{\circ}$ C (59–86  $^{\circ}$ F) before use.
- Measurements may be affected by erythrocytes, fibrin, or other unspecified precipitates or debris contained in specimens. If samples appeared to contain particulates, centrifuge for a maximum of 5 minutes at 10,000 x g. Centrifuge specimens and/or remove these to ensure accurate results.
- Do not use heat-inactivated specimens.
- When shipped, package and label specimens are in compliance with any applicable regulations for the transport of clinical specimens and infectious substances.

# **Testing Procedure**

#### **Materials Provided**

- VITROS Immunodiagnostic Products VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT Reagent Pack
- VITROS Immunodiagnostic Products VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT Calibrators

#### Materials Required but Not Provided

- VITROS Immunodiagnostic Products Signal Reagent
- VITROS Immunodiagnostic Products Universal Wash Reagent
- VITROS Immunodiagnostic Products High Sample Diluent B
- Quality control materials such as VITROS Immunodiagnostic Products VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT Controls
- VITROS Immunodiagnostic Products Reagent Pack Storage Box (optional) with desiccant

#### Operating Instructions

Check the inventory regularly to aid the management of reagents and ensure that sufficient VITROS Signal Reagent, VITROS Universal Wash Reagent and calibrated reagent lots are available for the work planned. When performing panels of tests on a single sample, ensure that the sample volume is sufficient for the tests ordered. Ensure sufficient VITROS High Sample Diluent B Reagent Pack is loaded onto the system before processing samples. Refer to the VITROS High Sample Diluent B Reagent Pack instructions for use.

For detailed information refer to the operating instructions for your system.

Note: Do not use visibly damaged product.

#### Sample Dilution

Samples with concentrations greater than the measuring range may be automatically diluted on the system up to 10-fold (1 part sample with 9 parts diluent) by the VITROS Immunodiagnostic and VITROS Integrated Systems with the VITROS Immunodiagnostic Products High Sample Diluent B Reagent Pack prior to testing. Dilution results are automatically calculated by the VITROS Immunodiagnostic and VITROS Integrated Systems. Refer to the VITROS High Sample Diluent B Reagent Pack Instructions for Use.

Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, Inc. is not responsible for any editing, line alteration or other revision,

5 of 22

Calibration

#### **Default Test Name**

The default test name which will appear on patient reports is procalcitonin. The default short name that will appear on the test selection menus and laboratory reports is PCT. These defaults may be reconfigured, if required. For detailed information refer to the operating instructions for your system.

#### Calibration

#### Calibration Procedure

- Calibration is lot specific; reagent packs and calibrators are linked by lot number. Reagent packs from the same lot may use the same calibration.
- A Master Calibration (a dose response curve covering the full calibration range) is established for each new reagent lot. Concentrations for the linked lot of calibrators are determined from the Master Calibration.
- Ensure that the Master Calibration for each new reagent lot is available on your system.
- Process calibrators in the same manner as samples. Calibration need not be programmed if bar code labels are used; load the calibrators in any order, calibration will be initiated automatically.
- When the calibrators are processed the signal expected for each calibrator is compared against the actual signal obtained. The Master Calibration is then rescaled to reflect the differences between the actual and expected signals. The validity of this calibration curve is assessed against a range of quality parameters, and if acceptable, it is stored for use with any reagent pack of that lot.
- The quality of calibration cannot be completely described by a single parameter. The calibration report should be used in conjunction with acceptable control values to determine the validity of the calibration.
- Recalibration is required after a pre-determined calibration interval, or when a different reagent lot is loaded.
- Calibration results are assessed against a range of quality parameters. Failure to meet any of the defined quality parameter ranges will be coded in the calibration report. For actions to be taken following a failed calibration refer to the operating instructions for your system.

Refer to the operating instructions for your system for detailed instructions on the calibration process.

#### When to Calibrate

- With initial use of the VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT test.
- Calibrate when the reagent pack and calibrator lot changes.
- Calibrate every 56 days.
- After specified service procedures have been performed.
- If quality control results are consistently outside of your acceptable range.

For additional information on when to calibrate, refer to the operating instructions for your system.

#### Traceability of Calibration

Calibration of the VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT test is traceable to in-house reference calibrators, which have been value-assigned to correlate to B•R•A•H•M•S PCT sensitive KRYPTOR.

#### Calibration Model

A modified four parameter logistic curve fit function is used to construct the Master Calibration. The calibration process rescales the Master Calibration to establish a valid stored curve for the VITROS Immunodiagnostic and VITROS Integrated Systems.

Measuring (Reportable) Range

abaning (Itoportable) Italige	
System	Measuring (Reportable) Range
ECi/ECiQ, 3600, 5600, XT 7600	0.030–100 ng/mL (0.030–100 μg/L)

# **Quality Control**

6 of 22

#### **Quality Control Material Selection**

Controls containing suitable levels of procalcitonin are recommended for use with the VITROS Immunodiagnostic and VITROS Integrated Systems. The performance of commercial control fluids should be evaluated for compatibility with this test before they are used for quality control.

Control materials may show a difference when compared with other procalcitonin methods if they contain high concentrations of preservatives, stabilizers, or other nonphysiological additives, or otherwise depart from a true human sample matrix. Appropriate quality control value ranges must be established for all quality control materials used with the VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT test.

Results

## **Quality Control Procedure Recommendations**

- · Good laboratory practice requires that controls be processed to verify the performance of the test.
- · Choose control levels that check the clinically relevant concentrations.
- To verify system performance, analyze control materials:
  - After calibration
  - If the system is turned off for more than 2 hours
  - After reloading reagent packs that have been removed from the MicroWell Supply and stored for later use
  - According to local regulations or at least once each day that the test is being performed
  - After specified service procedures are performed

If quality control procedures within your laboratory require more frequent use of controls, follow those procedures.

- · Analyze quality control materials in the same manner as patient specimens.
- If control results fall outside your acceptable range, investigate the cause before deciding whether to report patient results.
- Refer to published guidelines for general quality control recommendations.<sup>29</sup>

For more detailed information, refer to the operating instructions for your system.

#### **Quality Control Material Preparation and Storage**

Refer to the manufacturer's product literature for preparation, storage, and stability information.

## Result

Results are automatically calculated by the VITROS Immunodiagnostic and VITROS Integrated Systems.

S

# **Reporting Units and Unit Conversion**

3	
Conventional	Alternate
ng/mL (μg/L x 1)	μg/L (ng/mL x 1)

### **Limitations of the Procedure**

#### **Known Interferences**

The VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT test was evaluated for interference consistent with CLSI document EP07. <sup>30</sup> Commonly encountered substances were tested on three lots of reagents. Of the compounds tested, none was found to cause a bias of >10%. Refer to "Specificity" for a list of compounds tested that did not show interference.

#### **Other Limitations**

- Heterophilic antibodies in serum or plasma samples may cause interference in immunoassays. <sup>31</sup> These antibodies may
  be present in blood samples from individuals regularly exposed to animals or who have been treated with animal serum
  products. Results that are inconsistent with clinical observations indicate the need for additional testing.
- The VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT test has no high dose hook effect up to a concentration of 5,000 ng/mL (5,000 μg/L).
- Different assay methods may not be used interchangeably due to differences in assay methods and reagent specificity.
   The results reported by the laboratory to the physician should include the identity of the PCT assay used.

# **Expected Values and Interpretation of Results**

#### **Expected Values:**

It is recommended that each laboratory establish its own upper reference limit (URL) for the population it serves. The VITROS B-R•A•H•M•S PCT URL was established using the upper 95<sup>th</sup> percentile of a population of samples acquired from one hundred fifty (150) self-reported healthy adults, including 79 female and 71 male subjects. The subjects ranged in age from 24 to 78 years old, with forty-six percent of the subjects ≥60 years of age. Subjects were excluded if they met any of the following exclusion criteria:

- · History of cancer, chronic hepatitis or cirrhosis, chemical pneumonitis, kidney dysfunction, or autoimmune disease
- Trauma, burns, major surgery, shock, hepatitis, pancreatitis, fungal infection, malaria, heat stroke, muscle injury, or heart attack in the last 3 months
- · Current use of immunosuppression medication
- · Antibiotics taken within the last month
- Pregnancy

The overall observed 95<sup>th</sup> percentile URL from 150 normal, healthy donor samples is 0.077 ng/mL as shown in the table below.

Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, Inc. is not responsible for any editing, line alteration or other revision,

7 of 22

#### VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT URL

Sample	Number of	95 <sup>th</sup> Percentile
Type	Subjects	URL ng/mL
Serum, lithium heparin plasma, EDTA plasma	150	0.077

# Interpretation of Results:

#### 1. Risk assessment for progression to severe sepsis and septic shock

The VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT test is intended to aid in the risk assessment of critically ill patients on their first day of ICU admission for progression to severe sepsis and septic shock.

SIRS, Sepsis, Severe Sepsis, and Septic Shock were categorized according to the criteria of the consensus conference of

the American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care Medicine. <sup>32</sup> PCT should always be interpreted in the clinical context of the patient. Therefore, clinicians should use the PCT results in conjunction with other laboratory findings and clinical signs of the patient.

Data support the following interpretative risk assessment criteria 33, 34:

#### PCT >2.00 ng/mL

A PCT level above 2.00 ng/mL on the first day of Intensive Care Unit (ICU) admission is associated with a high risk for progression to severe sepsis and/or septic shock.

#### PCT <0.500 ng/mL

A PCT level below 0.500 ng/mL on the first day of Intensive Care Unit (ICU) admission is associated with a low risk for progression to severe sepsis and/or septic shock.

PCT levels below 0.500 ng/mL do not exclude an infection, because localized infections (without systemic signs) may also be associated with such low levels. If the PCT measurement is done very early after the systemic infection process has started (usually <6 hours), these values may still be low.

Various non-infectious conditions are known to induce changes in PCT level. PCT levels between 0.500 ng/mL and 2.00 ng/mL should be interpreted in the context of the specific clinical background and condition(s) of the individual patient. It is recommended to retest PCT within 6–24 hrs if any concentrations <2.00 ng/mL are obtained.


2. Percent change in PCT level over time to aid in the prediction of cumulative 28-day mortality in patients with severe sepsis and septic shock
In addition to the interpretative risk assessment criteria above, the change of PCT concentration over time provides prognostic information about the risk of mortality within 28 days for patients diagnosed with severe sepsis or septic shock coming from the Emergency Department, Intensive Care Unit, other medical wards or directly from outside the hospital.

• A PCT level that declines ≤80% from the day that severe sepsis or septic shock was clinically diagnosed (Day 0) to four days after clinical diagnosis (Day 4) is associated with higher cumulative 28-day risk of all-cause mortality than a decline

- >80%.
- The combination of the first PCT level (≤2.00 ng/mL or >2.00 ng/mL) at initial diagnosis of severe sepsis or septic shock with the patient's clinical course and the change in PCT level over time until Day 4 provides important additional information about the mortality risk.
- The PCT level on Day 1 (the day after severe sepsis or septic shock is first clinically diagnosed) can be used to calculate the percent change in PCT level at Day 4 if the Day 0 measurement is unavailable.

  Data support the classification of patients into higher and lower risk for mortality within 28 days according to the workflow

$$\Delta PCT = \frac{PCT_{Day 0 (or Day 1)} - PCT_{Day 4}}{PCT_{Day 0 (or Day 1)}} \times 100\%$$



#### **ΔPCT ≤80%**

A decrease of PCT levels below or equal to 80% defines a positive ΔPCT test result representing a higher risk for 28-day all-cause mortality of patients diagnosed with severe sepsis or septic shock. If the PCT level increases over the first 4 days, the change in PCT result (ΔPCT) is interpreted as ΔPCT decline ≤80% and is defined a positive ΔPCT test result representing a higher risk for 28-day all-cause mortality of patients diagnosed with severe sepsis or septic shock.

#### **ΔPCT >80%**

A decrease of PCT levels of more than 80% defines a negative ΔPCT result representing a lower risk for 28-day all-cause mortality of patients diagnosed with severe sepsis or septic shock.
Use the Change in Procalcitonin Calculator (http://www.BRAHMS-PCT-Calculator.com) to determine ΔPCT results from the absolute PCT concentrations of a patient obtained on the day severe sepsis or septic shock was first diagnosed (or 24 hours later) and four days thereafter.

#### Decision making on antibiotic therapy for patients with suspected or confirmed LRTI Initiation:

PCT Result	<0.100 ng/mL	0.100-0.250 ng/mL	0.251-0.500 ng/mL	>0.500 ng/mL
Interpretation	Antibiotic therapy strongly discouraged.	Antibiotic therapy discouraged.	Antibiotic therapy encouraged.	Antibiotic therapy strongly encouraged.
Follow-up	Antibiotic therapy should regardless of PCT result clinically unstable, is at houtcome, has strong evid pathogen, or the clinical antibiotic therapy is warre If antibiotics are withheld symptoms persist/worser measurement within 6–24	igh risk for adverse ence of bacterial context indicates anted. , reassess if and/or repeat PCT	In order to assess treat to support a decision to antibiotic therapy, follow should be tested once based upon physician dinto account patient's e progress.  Antibiotic therapy may according to the descri	o discontinue w up samples every 1–2 days, discretion taking volution and be adjusted

#### Discontinuation:

Antibiotic therapy may be discontinued if the PCT<sub>current</sub> is ≤0.250 ng/mL or if the ΔPCT is >80%.

- PCT<sub>Peak</sub>: Highest observed PCT concentration
- PCT<sub>Current</sub>: Most recent PCT concentration
- Calculate ΔPCT by using the following equation:

$$\Delta PCT = \frac{PCT_{Peak} \square - PCT_{Current} \square}{PCT_{Peak} \square} \times 100\%$$

Antibiotic therapy may be continued based upon other clinical findings, such as apparent progression on chest x-ray or ongoing/increasing toxicity.

If clinical picture has not improved and PCT remains high, re-evaluate and consider treatment failure or other causes.

#### 4. Decision making on antibiotic discontinuation for suspected or confirmed septic patients

In order to assess treatment success and to support a decision to discontinue antibiotic therapy, follow up samples should be tested once every 1-2 days, based upon physician discretion taking into account the patients' evolution and progress. 35 Antibiotic therapy may be adjusted according to the description below:

Antibiotic therapy may be discontinued if the PCT<sub>current</sub> is ≤0.500 ng/mL or if the ∆PCT is >80%.

- PCT<sub>Peak</sub>: Highest observed PCT concentration
- PCT<sub>Current</sub>: Most recent PCT concentration
- Calculate ΔPCT by using the following equation:

$$\Delta PCT = \frac{PCT_{Peak} \square - PCT_{Current} \square}{PCT_{Peak} \square} \times 100\%$$

Antibiotic therapy may be continued based upon other clinical findings, such as failure to control a local infection or ongoing physiologic instability.

If clinical picture has not improved and PCT remains high, re-evaluate and consider treatment failure or other causes.

Recommendations for Laboratory Reports for Assessing Cumulative 28-day Risk of All-Cause Mortality, Initiation and Discontinuation:
It is suggested to report the numerical PCT values (individual or paired). For paired PCT values the report should also indicate if the △PCT(%) was ≤80% or >80%. The laboratory report should include a reference or a link to the VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT instructions for use for a guided interpretation of the test results.

# **Performance Characteristics**

#### **Clinical Performance Characteristics**

The VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT test was evaluated for the prediction of cumulative 28-day all-cause mortality using retrospective samples from a study of 858 adult patients diagnosed with severe sepsis or septic shock recruited across 13 investigational sites in the United States. The analysis population (598 subjects) included 44% female and 56% male patients with a mean age of 64 years. About half of the patients had severe sepsis (51%) versus septic shock (49%).

patients with a mean age of 04 years. About half of the patients had severe sepsis (51%) versus septic shock (49%). Infections were mainly community acquired (91%). <sup>22</sup>
The binary test result (ΔPCT decline >80% or ≤80%) was significantly associated with 28-day cumulative mortality (vital status on day 28). The two-sided Fisher's exact test p-value was 0.006. Adjusted for Intensive Care Unit (ICU) versus non-ICU patient subgroups (based on hospital location at Day 4 after initial diagnosis), the association remained significant (Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test p-value=0.026). In each binary ΔPCT subgroup, the 28-day cumulative mortality rate was stratified by need to continue ICU care on Day 4 and the selection of Day 0 vs. Day 1 as the baseline measurement day for the ΔPCT calculation:

10 of 22

28-Day Mortality Risk Stratified by Patient Location on Day 4: ΔPCT Decline >80% = Test Negative; ΔPCT Decline ≤80% = Test Positive						
	Day 4	28-Day Mo	ortality (%)	Prognostic	Accuracy* (%)	
ΔPCT Interval	ΔPCT Patient	ΔPCT Decline >80% (95% CI)	ΔPCT Decline ≤80% (95% CI)	Sensitivit y (95% CI)	Specificit y (95% CI)	
Day 0	ICU	21.1 (11.6–30.6)	29.6 (23.0–36.3)	77.5 (67.4 <b>–</b> 87.6)	31.4 (24.5–38.3)	
to Day 4	to Day 4 Non-ICU	5.4 (1.5–9.3)	11.0 (6.6–15.4)	74.6 (58.2 <b>–</b> 91.1)	42.3 (36.2 <del>–</del> 48.4)	
Day 1	Day 1	21.0 (11.7–30.3)	29.8 (23.1–36.4)	77.2 (67.0 <b>–</b> 87.3)	32.1 (25.2 <b>–</b> 39.0)	
to Day 4	Non-ICU	6.1 (1.7 <b>–</b> 10.5)	10.2 (6.1–14.3)	74.8 (58.5 <b>–</b> 91.2)	37.2 (31.3–43.1)	

<sup>\*</sup> Prognostic accuracy refers to how accurate the ΔPCT (decline ≤80% vs. >80%) can predict mortality risk.

Additional stratification of patients based on absolute initial PCT values (>2.00 ng/mL or ≤2.00 ng/mL) at Day 0 (or Day 1) revealed subgroups with particularly reduced or elevated mortality risk considering their patient location on Day 4. Mortality risk and prognostic performance are given for the following subgroups in the tables below:

- 1. Patients with PCT >2.00 ng/mL at Day 0 (or Day 1) receiving ICU care on Day 4
- 2. Patients with PCT ≤2.00 ng/mL at Day 0 (or Day 1) receiving ICU care on Day 4
- 3. Patients with PCT >2.00 ng/mL at Day 0 (or Day 1) without ICU care on Day 4
- 4. Patients with PCT ≤2.00 ng/mL at Day 0 (or Day 1) without ICU care on Day 4

2	28-Day Mortality Risk Stratified by Patient Location on Day 4, Absolute Initial PCT Value on							
	Day 0:							
	ΔPCT Decline >80% = Test Negative; ΔPCT Decline ≤80% = Test Positive							
	Day 4	Initial	28-Day M	ortality (%)	Prognostic A	\ccuracy* (%)		
ΔPCT Interval	Day 4 Patient Locatio n	PCT Value at Day 0 (ng/mL)	ΔPCT Decline >80% (95% CI)	ΔPCT Decline ≤80% (95% CI)	Sensitivit y (95% CI)	Specificit y (95% CI)		
D- 0	ICU	≤2.00	5.5 (0.0–27.0)	23.7 (13.9 <b>–</b> 33.4)	97.7 (88.5–100.0)	10.3 (2.0–18.7)		
Day 0 to Day 4	to Day 4	>2.00	22.7 (12.6–32.7)	33.7 (24.9 <del>-4</del> 2.6)	70.5 (57.9 <b>–</b> 83.1)	42.1 (33.2–51.0)		
	Non-ICU	≤2.00	5.0 (0.0–14.8)	8.3 (3.4–13.3)	90.9 (73.9 <b>–</b> 100.0)	14.7 (7.8–21.6)		
		>2.00	5.5 (1.2 <b>–</b> 9.8)	15.1 (6.9–23.3)	64.6 (41.4 <b>–</b> 87.9)	62.6 (54.7 <b>–</b> 70.5)		

Prognostic accuracy refers to how accurate the ΔPCT (decline ≤80% vs. >80%) can predict mortality risk.

2	28-Day Mortality Risk Stratified by Patient Location on Day 4, Absolute Initial PCT Value on							
	Day 1: ΔPCT Decline >80% = Test Negative; ΔPCT Decline ≤80% = Test Positive							
28-Day Mortality (%) Prognostic Accuracy (%)						Accuracy* (%)		
ΔPCT Interval	Day 4 Patient Locatio n	PCT Value at Day 1 ng/mL	ΔPCT Decline >80% (95% CI)	ΔPCT Decline ≤80% (95% CI)	Sensitivit y (95% CI)	Specificit y (95% CI)		
5 4	ICU	≤2.00	22.9 (0.0–61.0)	21.3 (11.4–31.1)	92.0 (77.6–100.0)	7.4 (0.0–15.1)		
Day 1 to Day 4	tō I	>2.00	20.9 (11.3–30.4)	34.6 (26.0–43.3)	73.0 (60.9 <del>-</del> 85.1)	42.7 (33.9–51.4)		
	Non-ICU	≤2.00	0.0 (0.0–20.6**)	7.2 (2.7 <b>–</b> 11.8)	100.0 (66.4**–100.0)	11.9 (5.8 <b>–</b> 17.9)		
		>2.00	7.0 (2.0 <b>–</b> 12.0)	14.8 (7.0 <del>–</del> 22.6)	63.0 (40.9 <del>-</del> 85.1)	57.5 (49.2 <del>–</del> 65.8)		


<sup>\*</sup> Prognostic accuracy refers to how accurate the ΔPCT (decline ≤80% vs. >80%) can predict mortality risk.

The relative mortality ratios for  $\Delta$ PCT positive (decline  $\leq$ 80%) versus  $\Delta$ PCT negative (decline  $\geq$ 80%) patient subgroups were:


- 1.48 for patients with PCT >2.00 ng/mL at Day 0 receiving ICU care on Day 4
- 4.31 for patients with PCT ≤2.00 ng/mL at Day 0 receiving ICU care on Day 4
- 2.74 for patients with PCT >2.00 ng/mL at Day 0 without ICU care on Day 4
- 1.66 for patients with PCT ≤2.00 ng/mL at Day 0 without ICU care on Day 4


Based on relative mortality ratios, a decrease in PCT concentration by ≤80% from Day 0 (or Day 1) to Day 4 constitutes a higher risk for mortality within 28 days compared to >80% decreases in each subgroup.

Time-to-event analyses, illustrated by the Kaplan-Meier curves below, demonstrate that patients had a lower survival probability (higher cumulative mortality risk) from study Day 4 until the end of follow-up time (Day 28) when the  $\Delta$ PCT test result was positive compared to when the  $\Delta$ PCT result was negative in all patient subgroups according to patient location on Day 4 and initial PCT value.




<sup>\*\*</sup> Normality approximation of within-imputation variance not valid, therefore the estimate corresponds to within-imputation variation based on exact confidence intervals. 36





Survival until Day 28, PCT >2.00 ng/mL at Day 0, non-ICU Day 4 (n=193)



 $\Delta$ PCT = positive, n=77, deaths=12

For the prediction of absolute mortality risks, patient location on Day 4 and initial PCT value should be considered:

An initial PCT level <2.00 ng/mL on Day 0 followed by a PCT decline of more than 80% by Day 4 indicates a 4-fold lower cumulative 28-day mortality risk (5.5%) for patients with severe sepsis or septic shock who are still in the ICU by Day 4 compared to those patients with an initial PCT value >2.00 ng/mL (22.7%). Regardless of the initial PCT value, patients in the ICU on Day 4 that do not have more than an 80% decline in PCT plasma value from Day 0 to Day 4 have an even higher mortality risk of 23.7%—33.7%.

An initial PCT value >2.00 ng/mL that does not decline by more than 80% by Day 4 signals that such patients remain at high mortality risk (15.1%) even when they are no longer receiving ICU care on Day 4. Mortality was otherwise observed between 5.0% to 8.3% for patients discharged from the ICU by Day 4.
 A ΔPCT from Day 0 to Day 4 (decline ≤80% versus decline >80%) as a prognostic for 28-day cumulative risk of mortality was quantified by Cox proportional hazards regression analysis with a hazard ratio of 1.93 (95% Cl of 1.19–3.12; p-value=0.008). The relative risk of cumulative 28-day mortality is about 2-fold higher if an individual tests positive for ΔPCT (decline ≤80%) than if an individual tests negative (decline >80%).
 As a comparison, the table below lists the univariate hazard ratios for other clinical factors evaluated as separate predictors of mortality in the study population.

Predictors	tors Comparison		95% C <b>I</b>	p-Value
ΔPCT (Day 0 to Day 4)	Day 0 to Day 4) Decline ≤80% vs. >80%		1.19–3.12	0.008
ΔPCT (Day 1 to Day 4)	Decline ≤80% vs. >80%	1.73	1.07-2.79	0.025
APACHE* on Day 1	Difference of 5 units	1.36	1.22-1.53	<0.001
Maximum SOFA* of Day 0-Day 4	Difference of 3 units	1.73	1.50-2.00	<0.001
Antibiotic Adequacy	No vs. Yes	1.59	1.00-2.53	0.051
Sepsis Severity	Septic Shock vs. Severe Sepsis	1.19	0.80-1.76	0.386
Biological Infection Type	Gram Positive vs. Gram Negative	0.83	0.48-1.45	0.522
Biological Infection Type	Other vs. Gram Negative	0.99	0.63-1.54	0.960
Biological Infection Type	Fungal vs. Gram Negative	2.44	0.87-6.84	0.090
Clinical Infection Type	Nosocomial vs. Community Acquired	0.76	0.35-1.64	0.481
Positive Blood Culture	Yes vs. No	1.05	0.69-1.58	0.834
PCT on Day 0	>2.00 ng/mL vs. ≤2.00 ng/mL	1.39	0.90-2.15	0.139
Age Difference of 5 years		1.16	1.08-1.24	<0.001
Gender Male vs. Female		0.95	0.64-1.40	0.782
ICU Care on Day 4	Yes vs. No	3.45	2.24-5.31	<0.001

<sup>\*</sup> APACHE: Acute Physiology, Age and Chronic Health Evaluation; SOFA: Sequential Organ Failure Assessment

A  $\Delta$ PCT from Day 0 (or Day 1) to Day 4 remains a prognostic parameter for the risk of cumulative 28-day mortality in patients diagnosed with severe sepsis or septic shock even when the hazard ratio is adjusted for other mortality predictors in Cox multiple regression models. The relative mortality risk estimates for  $\Delta$ PCT and selected predictors are presented below with 95% confidence intervals. For continuous predictors, the hazard ratio (HR) was calculated for one standard deviation (SD) change in the predictor. For binary predictors, the risk estimate compares the hazards for the two binary results.

N	lodel	Hazard Ratio (HR) (95% Confidence Interval)							
		Binary I	Predictors	Continue	ous Predictors (F	IR per 1 SD)			
ΔPCT Interval	Score + Covariates*	ΔPCT Decline (≤80% vs. >80%)	Day 4 Patient Location (ICU vs. Non-ICU)	APACHE (1 SD=8.13)	Maximum SOFA (1 SD=3.98)	Age (1 SD=16.18)			
Day 0	APACHE	1.75 (1.00–3.04)	2.63 (1.64 <b>–</b> 4.21)	1.24 (0.99–1.56)	N/A	1.58 (1.26–1.98)			
to Day 4	Maximum SOFA	1.59 (0.92 <b>–</b> 2.73)	1.68 (1.02 <b>–</b> 2.78)	N/A	1.96 (1.53 <b>–</b> 2.52)	1.68 (1.35 <b>–</b> 2.10)			
Day 1	APACHE	1.67 (0.99–2.82)	2.65 (1.65 <del>–</del> 4.24)	1.29 (1.03 <b>–</b> 1.61)	N/A	1.57 (1.25 <b>–</b> 1.96)			
to Day 4	Maximum SOFA	1.48 (0.88 <b>–</b> 2.51)	1.73 (1.05 <b>–</b> 2.84)	N/A	1.98 (1.54 <b>–</b> 2.54)	1.67 (1.34 <b>–</b> 2.09)			

<sup>\*</sup>The models also included the following predictors (hazard ratio results not shown): antibiotic adequacy, sepsis severity, biological infection type, clinical infection type, positive blood culture, PCT value on Day 0, gender. In the analysis, missing values for predictors were multiple imputed assuming they were Missing at Random (MAR), with the multiple imputations combined according to Rubin's rules.

The change of PCT over time can also be described by the ratio of PCT values from Day 4 and Day 0 (or Day 1):

$$PCT_{ratio} = \frac{PCT_{Day 4}}{PCT_{Day 0 (or Day 1)}}$$

A decline of  $\Delta$ PCT=80% translates into a PCT ratio of 0.2. The PCT ratio has values larger than 0.2 when the  $\Delta$ PCT decline is less than 80%, which is associated with a higher risk for cumulative 28-day all-cause mortality in patients diagnosed with severe sepsis or septic shock. Likewise, a PCT ratio below 0.2 indicates a lower risk for mortality within 28 days. On a continuous scale, the relative mortality risk for such patients is higher the larger the PCT ratio. The following table lists the hazard ratios for an increase by the factor 2 in PCT ratio (i.e., the relative increase in mortality risk for a

patient with any given PCT ratio compared to a patient with a 2-fold lower PCT ratio). For the patient location at Day 4, the risk estimate compares the hazards for patients with versus without ICU care on Day 4.

N	lodel	Hazard Ratio (HR) (95% Confidence Interval)							
		(HR per 2	Continuous Predictors  (HR per 2-fold increase in PCT ratio or per equivalent in SD)  Binary Predictor						
ΔPCT Interval	Score + Covariates <sup>*</sup>	PCT ratio (2-fold increase)	tio APACHE (SD equivalent) Age						
Day 0	APACHE	1.29 (1.13 <b>–</b> 1.47)	1.08 (0.95 <b>–</b> 1.23)	N/A	1.32 (1.16–1.49)	2.52 (1.56–4.06)			
to Day 4	Maximum SOFA	1.21 (1.06–1.38)	N/A	1.40 (1.21–1.61)	1.35 (1.19 <b>–</b> 1.53)	1.68 (1.02 <b>–</b> 2.76)			
Day 1	APACHE	1.40 (1.18 <b>–</b> 1.66)	1.20 (1.01 <b>–</b> 1.43)	N/A	1.44 (1.21–1.71)	2.60 (1.62 <b>–</b> 4.16)			
to Day 4	Maximum SOFA	1.33 (1.11 <b>–</b> 1.59)	N/A	1.65 (1.36–2.00)	1.51 (1.27 <b>–</b> 1.79)	1.75 (1.07 <b>–</b> 2.88)			

\*The models also included the following predictors (hazard ratio results not shown): antibiotic adequacy, sepsis severity, biological infection type, clinical infection type, positive blood culture, PCT on Day 0, and gender. In the analysis, missing values for predictors were multiple imputed assuming they were Missing at Random (MAR), with the multiple imputations combined according to Rubin's

Tures.

\*\* A unit change of ΔPCT on log-2-scale corresponded to 0.56 SD of ΔPCT from Day 0 until Day 4 (0.78 SD for ΔPCT from Day 1 until Day 4). Accordingly, the reported ΔPCT hazard ratios refer to an increase of ΔPCT by a factor of 2. For comparability, hazard ratios of the other continuous predictors were estimated for the same fractional SD (i.e., 0.56 or 0.78, respectively).

\*\*Cumulative 28-day all-cause mortality did not differ significantly for male versus female patients (Chi-square with Yates correction p-value=0.84). Demographics with outcome information are presented below:

Variable	Class	All	Dead	Alive	Mortalit
		Patients (N=598)	(n)	(n)	y (%)
Gender	Female	264	46	218	17.4
Some	Male	334	55	279	16.5
	≤30	39	1	38	2.6
A == ()(= = ==)	>30 to 45	45	4	41	8.9
Age (Years)	>45 to 55	74	8	66	10.8
	>55 to 65	149	26	123	17.4
	>65 to 75	125	21	104	16.8
	>75	166	41	125	24.7
	African-American	202	32	170	15.8
Ethnicity	Asian	7	0	7	0.0
	Caucasian	362	64	298	17.7
	Hispanic	23	5	18	21.7
	Other	4	0	4	0.0
DOT D 0	<0.500	102	16	86	15.7
PCT on Day 0 (ng/mL)	≥0.500 to ≤2.00	98	13	85	13.3
	>2.00	342	67	275	19.6
	Missing	56	5	51	8.9

# **Limit of Detection**


The Limit of Detection (LoD) for the VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT test is 0.007 ng/mL (0.007 μg/L), determined consistent with CLSI document EP17. <sup>38</sup> The Limit of Quantitation (LoQ) was determined consistent with CLSI document EP17. <sup>38</sup> The observed Limit of Quantitation at 20% CV was determined to be 0.013 ng/mL (0.013 μg/L) and the claimed LoQ was set at 0.030 ng/mL (0.030 µg/L).

**Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantitation** 

Lo	D	LoQ			
ng/mL	μg/L	ng/mL μg/L			
0.007	0.007	0.030	0.030		

# **Accuracy (Method Comparison)**

Accuracy was evaluated consistent with CLSI document EP09. <sup>39</sup> The plot and table show the results of a method comparison study using patient samples analyzed on the VITROS 360D Immunodiagnostic System compared with those analyzed using the B•R•A•H•M•S PCT sensitive KRYPTOR test. The relationship between the 2 methods was determined by Weighted Deming <sup>40</sup> regression.



System	n	Slope	Correlatio n Coefficien t	Conventional Units = Alternate Units (	` ,
				Range of Samples	Intercep t
VITROS 3600 vs. Comparative Method	246	1.057	0.994	0.031–99.8	-0.010
VITROS ECi/ECiQ vs. VITROS 3600	244	0.964	1.000	0.032-93.3	0.006
VITROS 5600** vs. VITROS 3600	242	0.984	0.999	0.030–97.9 -0.001	

Qualitative agreement between the VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT and the B•R•A•H•M•S PCT sensitive KRYPTOR tests were compared using samples from the clinical performance study at clinical decision points (0.500 ng/mL and 2.00 ng/mL) for the aid in the risk assessment of critically ill patients on their first day of ICU admission for progression to severe sepsis and septic shock.

VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT	B•R•A	Total		
VITROS BIRTATIONIS PCI	≤0.500 ng/mL	>0.500 ng/mL to ≤2.00 ng/mL	>2.00 ng/mL	Total
≤0.500 ng/mL	500	12	1	513
>0.500 ng/mL to ≤2.00 ng/mL	44	405	6	455
>2.00 ng/mL	0	40	1160	1200
Total	544	457	1167	2168

16 of 22

<sup>\*</sup>The alternate units are 1.00 ng/mL=1.00 µg/L.

\*\* Performance characteristics for the VITROS 5600 System are applicable to the VITROS XT 7600 System.

PCT

In addition, the following concordance data between the VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT and the B•R•A•H•M•S PCT sensitive KRYPTOR tests were obtained from the clinical performance study (n = 2168) at the clinical decision points.

Clinical Decision Point (ng/mL)	Positive Agreemen t % (95% CI)	Negative Agreemen t % (95% CI)	Total Agreement (%)	Cohen's Kappa
0.100	98.9	86.1	98.5	0.772
51100	(98.4–99.3)	(75.9–93.1)	0010	517.12
0.250	99.1	91.8	98.0	0.917
0.200	(98.5–99.5)	(88.3–94.6)	00.0	0.017
0.500	99.2	91.9	97.4	0.926
0.000	(98.6–99.6)	(89.3–94.1)	0711	0.020
2,00	99.4	96.0	97.8	0.955
2.00	(98.8–99.8)	(94.6–97.1)	37.10	3.500

#### **Precision**

Precision was evaluated consistent with CLSI document EP05. <sup>41</sup> Two replicates each of seven patient pools and three controls were tested on two separate occasions per day on at least 20 different test days. The experiment was performed using three reagent lots on one VITROS ECI/ECIQ Immunodiagnostic System, one VITROS 3600 Immunodiagnostic System, and one VITROS 5600 Integrated System. Representative performance data are shown below.

VITROS				s = ng/mL (µg/L)				No.	No. Days
System	Mean B•R•A•H•M•S	Withi	n-run <sup>*</sup>	Withi	Within-cal**		-lab***	Observation	
	PCT Conc.	SD	%CV	SD	%CV	SD	%CV	s	
	0.049	0.0014	2.8	0.0018	3.7	0.0019	3.9	80	20
	0.100	0.0031	3.1	0.0036	3.6	0.0039	3.9	80	20
	0.239	0.0042	1.8	0.0055	2.3	0.0077	3.2	80	20
ECi/ECiQ	0.472	0.0073	1.6	0.0113	2.4	0.0162	3.4	80	20
	1.81	0.034	1.9	0.053	3.0	0.068	3.7	80	20
	27.0	0.54	2.0	0.89	3.3	1.13	4.1	80	20
	76.7	1.67	2.2	1.94	2.6	2.62	3.4	80	20
	0.463	0.0097	2.1	0.0143	3.1	0.0140	3.0	80	20
	1.79	0.029	1.6	0.040	2.2	0.061	3.4	80	20
	53.9	0.93	1.7	1.38	2.6	2.01	3.7	80	20
	0.041	0.0006	1.4	0.0018	4.3	0.0025	6.4	80	20
	0.096	0.0010	1.0	0.0022	2.3	0.0029	3.1	80	20
	0.241	0.0036	1.5	0.0052	2.1	0.0082	3.5	80	20
3600	0.481	0.0073	1.5	0.0113	2.3	0.0174	3.7	80	20
	1.92	0.029	1.5	0.047	2.4	0.070	3.7	80	20
	27.9	0.42	1.5	0.56	2.0	0.89	3.2	80	20
	77.4	1.45	1.8	1.83	2.3	2.88	3.8	80	20
	0.486	0.0070	1.4	0.0115	2.3	0.0165	3.4	80	20
	1.93	0.044	2.3	0.056	2.9	0.076	4.0	80	20
	55.5	1.13	2.0	1.45	2.6	2.16	3.9	80	20

VITROS				s = ng/mL (µg/L)				No.	No. Days
System	Mean B•R•A•H•M•S	Within-run*		Withi	Within-cal**		Within-lab***		·
	PCT Conc.	SD	%CV	SD	%CV	SD	%CV	s	
	0.037	0.0007	1.8	0.0019	5.0	0.0019	5.2	80	20
	0.093	0.0008	0.9	0.0021	2.2	0.0022	2.4	80	20
	0.236	0.0024	1.0	0.0047	2.0	0.0058	2.5	80	20
5600****	0.471	0.0054	1.1	0.0108	2.3	0.0130	2.8	80	20
	1.90	0.021	1.1	0.047	2.5	0.055	2.9	80	20
	28.1	0.30	1.1	0.72	2.6	0.94	3.4	80	20
	75.7	1.33	1.7	2.30	3.0	3.02	4.0	80	20
	0.477	0.0045	0.9	0.0109	2.3	0.0137	2.9	80	20
	1.90	0.027	1.4	0.048	2.5	0.054	2.9	80	20
	55.2	0.99	1.8	1.53	2.8	1.90	3.5	80	20

<sup>\*</sup> Within-run (repeatability). Between Duplicate precision averaged over all runs.

### **Percent Total Error**

PCT Level (ng/mL)	Bias (%)	CV (%)	Total Error (%)
0.030	27.6	4.1	34.4
0.100	4.3	3.1	9.4
0.250	1.7	3.5	7.5
0.500	3.7	3.7	9.8
2.00	5.2	3.7	11.3

# **Specificity**

### Substances that do not Interfere

The VITROS B-R-A-H-M-S PCT test was evaluated for interference consistent with CLSI document EP07. <sup>30</sup> Of the compounds tested, none was found to cause a bias of >10% with the test at the concentrations indicated at nominal procalcitonin concentrations of 0.250 ng/mL and 2.00 ng/mL.

Compound	Conce	entration		Compound	Conce	entration
Acetaminophen	200 μg/mL	1323 µmol/L		Hemoglobin	600 mg/dL	6.00 g/L
Acetylsalicylic Acid	65.2 mg/dL	3.62 mmol/L		Heparin	8000 IU/L	N/A
Alcohol	400 mg/dL	86.8 mmo <b>l</b> /L		Ibuprofen	50.0 mg/dL	2.42 mmol/L
Azithromycin	1.15 mg/dL	14.6 µmo <b>l</b> /L		Imipenem	1.18 mg/mL	3.72 mmol/L
Bilirubin, Conjugated	30.0 mg/dL	513 µmo <b>l</b> /L		Levofloxacin	1.75 mg/dL	47.2 µmol/L
Bilirubin, Unconjugated	40.0 mg/dL	475 μmo <b>l</b> /L		Loratadine	0.030 mg/dL	0.784 µmol/L
Biotin	3500 ng/mL	14.3 µmol/L		Nicotine	0.100 mg/dL	6.20 µmo <b>l</b> /L
Caffeine	5.98 mg/dL	308 µmo <b>l</b> /L		Noradrenaline	2.00 μg/mL	11.8 µmo <b>l</b> /L
Celecoxib	24.0 mg/dL	629 µmo <b>l</b> /L		Oxymetazoline HCI	0.009 mg/dL	0.334 µmol/L
Cetirizine HCI	0.360 mg/dL	7.80 µmo <b>l</b> /L		Phenylephrine	0.018 mg/dL	1.10 µmol/L
Dextromethorphan	0.140 mg/dL	3.80 µmo <b>l</b> /L		Prednisolone	0.300 mg/dL	8.31 µmo <b>l</b> /L
Dobutamine	11.2 μg/mL	37.2 μmo <b>l</b> /L		Rheumatoid Factor	2000 IU/mL	N/A
Dopamine	13.0 mg/dL	686 µmo <b>l</b> /L		Salmeterol	60.0 ng/mL	0.099 µmo <b>l</b> /L
Doxycycline	50.0 mg/L	104 μmo <b>l</b> /L		Tiotropium	21.6 ng/mL	0.046 µmol/L
Epinephrine	0.180 mg/dL	8.20 µmol/L		Total Protein	11.7 g/dL	N/A
Fentanyl	10.0 mg/L	29.7 µmol/L		Triglyceride	2160 mg/dL	24.4 mmol/L
Furosemide	2.00 mg/dL	60.5 µmol/L		Vancomyoin	2.60 mg/ml	1.75 mm al/l
HAMA (Human Anti- Mouse Antibody)	3600 ng/mL	0.024 µmo <b>l</b> /L	Vancomycin		2.60 mg/mL	1.75 mmo <b>l</b> /L

18 of 22

<sup>\*\*</sup> Within-calibration. Total precision with weighted components of within-run, between-run, and between-day variation.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Within-lab. A measure of the effect of recalibration on total precision, calculated within reagent lot, using data from at least 4 calibrations.

\*\*\*\* Performance characteristics for the VITROS 5600 System are applicable to the VITROS XT 7600 System.

# **Cross-Reactivity**

The cross-reactivity of the VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT test was evaluated by adding the following substances to one human serum sample pool containing no procalcitonin.

Cross-Reactant	Cross Reactant Concentration	Mean Result of Control Pool	Mean Result of Cross-Reactant Pool	% Cross-Reactivity
	ng/mL	ng/mL	ng/mL	
Human Calcitonin	3.90 ng/mL	*	*	*
Human Katacalcin	25.6 ng/mL	*	*	*
Human α-CGRP	30.0 ng/mL	*	*	*
Human β-CGRP	30.0 ng/mL	*	*	*

Not Detectable (ND). Concentration was below the measuring range of the test, 0.030-100 ng/mL.

The cross-reactivity of the VITROS B•R•A•H•M•S PCT test was evaluated by adding the following substances to one human serum sample pool containing procalcitonin at a concentration of 0.500 ng/mL.

Cross-Reactant	Cross Reactant Concentration	Mean Result of Control Pool	Mean Result of Cross-Reactant Pool	% Cross-Reactivity
	ng/mL	ng/mL	ng/mL	
Human Calcitonin	3.90 ng/mL	0.491	0.461	<b>-</b> 0.8
Human Katacalcin	25.6 ng/mL	0.461	0.468	0.0
Human α-CGRP	30.0 ng/mL	0.491	0.460	<b>-</b> 0.1
Human β-CGRP	30.0 ng/mL	0.491	0.467	<b>-</b> 0.1

Cross-reactivity was expressed as the mean result obtained for the cross-reactant pool minus the mean result obtained for the control sample divided by the cross-reactant concentration in percentage term.

(Mean Procalcitonin Result Cross-reactant Pool) - (Mean Procalcitonin Result Control Sample)
Concentration of Cross-Reactant x 100 % Cross-reactivity =

#### References

- Meisner M. Procalcitonin Biochemistry and Clinical Diagnosis. UNIMED Science. ISBN 978-3-8374-1241-3; 2010.
- Kruger S. and Welte T. Biomarkers in community-acquired pneumonia. Expert Rev Respir Med. 6(2):203-14; 2012.
- Reith H.B, et al. Procalcitonin in early detection of postoperative complications. Dig Surg. 15(3): 260-5; 1998.
- 4.
- 5.
- Meisner M. et al. Procalcitonin in early detection of postoperative complications. *Dig Stirg* . 15(3): 250-5, 1998.

  Meisner M. et al. Postoperative plasma concentrations of procalcitonin after different types of surgery. *Intensive Care Med*. 24(7):680-4; 1998.

  Chiesa C. et al. Reliability of procalcitonin concentrations for the diagnosis of sepsis in critically ill neonates. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 26:664-72; 1998.

  Vijayan AL, Maya V, Ravindran S, Saikant R, Lakshmi S, Kartik R and Manoj G. Procalcitonin: a promising diagnostic marker for sepsis and antibiotic therapy. *Journal of Intensive Care*. (5):51: 1 7; 2017.

  Markus B, Peter AW. The inflammatory response in sepsis. *Trends Immunol*. 34(3):129–36; 2013.
- 7.
- Lever A, Mackenzie I. Sepsis: definition, epidemiology, and diagnosis. *Br Med J.* 335:879–83;2007. Dunja M, Snezana B, Arsen U, Biljana D, Vladimir V. Use of presepsin and procalcitonin for prediction of SeptiFast results in critically ill patients. *J Crit Care.* 40:197–201;2017. Angus DC, Van der Poll T. Severe sepsis and septic shock. *N Engl J Med.* 369:840–51;2013. Moore LJ, McKinley BA, Turner K. The epidemiology of sepsis in general surgery patients. *J Trauma.* 70(3):672–80; 2011.
- 11
- 12
- 2011.
  Taylor R, Jones A, Kelly S, et al. A review of the value of procalcitonin as a marker of infection. *Cureus*. Apr 10;9(4): e1148. doi:10.7759/cureus.1148;2017.
  Becker KL, Nylén ES, White JC, Müller B, Snider RH. Clinical review 167: Procalcitonin and the calcitonin gene family of peptides in inflammation, infection, and sepsis: a journey from calcitonin back to its precursors. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 89: 1512-1525; 2004.
  Karzai W, Oberhoffer M, Meier-Hellmann A, Reinhart K. Procalcitonin -a new indicator of the systemic response to severe infections. *Infection*. 25: 329-334; 1997.

Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, Inc. is not responsible for any editing, line alteration or other revision,

19 of 22

References

- 15.
- Shieraw B, Ebisa B, Kumar K, Boutin A, Frieri M. The Role of Procalcitonin as a Biomarker in Sepsis. *J Infect Dis Epidemiol.* 2:006; 2016.

  Morgenthaler N.G. Struck J. et al. Detection of procalcitonin (PCT) in healthy controls and patients with local infection by a sensitive ILMA. *Clin Lab.* 48(5-6): 263-270; 2002.

  Christ-Crain M, Jaccard-Stolz D. et al. Effect of procalcitonin-guided treatment on antibiotic use and outcome in lower respiratory tract infections: cluster-randomised, single-blinded intervention trial. *Lancet.* 363(9409): 600-607; 2004, Luyt C.E. et al. Procalcitonin kinetics as a prognostic marker of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Am. J. Resp. Crit. Care Med.* 171(1) 48-53; 2005. 17. 18.
- 20.
- 22.
- 23.
- Brunkhorst F.M. et al. Kinetics of procalcitonin in iatrogenic sepsis. *Intensive Care Med*. 24: 888-892; 1998.

  Schuetz P, Christ-Crain M. et al. Effect of procalcitonin-based guidelines vs standard guidelines on antibiotic use in lower respiratory tract infections: the ProHOSP randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 302(10): 1059-1066; 2009.

  Bouadma L, Luyt C.E. et al. Use of procalcitonin to reduce patients' exposure to antibiotics in intensive care units (PRORATA trial): a multicentre randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 375(9713): 463-474; 2010.

  Schuetz P,et al. Serial Procalcitonin Predicts Mortality in Severe Sepsis Patients: Results From the Multicenter Procalcitonin Monitoring SEpsis (MOSES) Study, *Crit. Care Med*. 45(5): 781–789; 2017.

  Summers M. et al.: Luminogenic Reagent Using 3-Chloro 4-Hydroxy Acetanilide to Enhance Peroxidase/Luminol Chemilluminescence. *Clinical Chemistry*. 41. S73; 1995.

  CLSI *Protection of Laboratory Workers From Occupationally Acquired Infections; Approved Guideline—Fourth Edition*.
- CLSI document M29-A4. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2014.
  Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures, amending and repealing Directives 67/548/EEC and 1999/45/EC, and amending Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006.
  Calam RR. Specimen Processing Separator Gels: An Update. *J Clin Immunoassay*. 11:86–90; 1988.
  CLSI. Collection of Diagnostic Venous Blood Specimens. 7th ed. CLSI standard GP41. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2017.

- CLSI. Tubes and Additives for Venous and Capillary Blood Specimen Collection; Approved Standard—Sixth Edition. CLSI document GP39-A6. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2010.
  CLSI, Statistical Quality Control for Quantitative Measurement Procedures: Principles and Definitions; Approved Guideline - Fourth Edition. CLSI guideline C24, Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2016.
  CLSI, Interference Testing in Clinical Chemistry. 3rd ed. CLSI guideline EP07. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2018.
- 29
- 30.
- 31. Levinson SS. The Nature of Heterophilic Antibodies and Their Role in Immunoassay Interference. J Clin Immunoassay.
- 15:108-115; 1992.

  15:108-115; 1992.

  American College of Chest Physicians / Society of Critical Care Medicine Consensus Conference Committee.

  Definitions for sepsis and organ failure and guidelines for the use of innovative therapies in sepsis. *Crit Care Med.*20(6):864-874; 1992.

  Schächinger H. et al. Calcitonin precursors are reliable markers of sepsis in a medical intense.
- 33.
- 34.
- 35.
- 20(6):864-874; 1992.

  Müller B, Becker KL, Schächinger H, et al. Calcitonin precursors are reliable markers of sepsis in a medical intensive care unit. Crit Care Med. 28(4):977-983; 2000.

  Harbarth S, Holeckova K, Froidevaux C, et al. Diagnostic value of procalcitonin, interleukin-6 and interleukin-8 in critically ill patients admitted with suspected sepsis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 164:396-402; 2001.

  Schuetz P, Raad, I., and D.N. Amin, Using procalcitonin-guided algorithms to improve antimicrobial therapy in ICU patients with respiratory infections and sepsis. Curr Opin Crit Care. 19(5):453-60; 2013.


  Clopper CJ, Pearson ES. The use of confidence or fiducial limits illustrated in the case of the binomial. Biometrika. 26;404–413; 1934.

- 39.
- 26;404–413; 1934.
  Rubin, DB. (1987). Multiple Imputation for Nonresponse in Surveys. New York: Wiley.
  CLSI. Evaluation of Detection Capability for Clinical Laboratory Measurement Procedures; Approved Guideline Second Edition. CLSI document EP17-A2. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2012.
  CLSI. Measurement Procedure Comparison and Bias Estimation Using Patient Samples. 3rded. CLSI Guideline EP09c. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2018.
  Deming WE, Statistical Adjustment of Data. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons; 1943.
  CLSI. Evaluation of Precision of Quantitative Measurement Procedures; Approved Guideline Third Edition. CLSI document EP05-A3. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2014.

20 of 22

**Glossary of Symbols** 

**Glossary of Symbols**The following symbols may have been used in the labeling of this product.



# **Revision History**

Signature

Date of Revision	Version	Description of Technical Changes
When this Procedure appropriate.	e is replaced, sig	n and date below and retain as specified by local regulations or laboratory policies, as
арргорпасс.		
		<del>-</del>

Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, Inc. is not responsible for any editing, line alteration or other revision,

Obsolete Date

This Procedure was derived from the following Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, Inc. document:

Pub. No. GEM1371\_US\_EN

Version 1.0

The information in this Procedure document has been provided to customers using Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, Inc. products and was current as of the publication date. This document is not intended for commercial sale or distribution and may not be provided to other users without the written permission of Ortho Clinical Diagnostics. The Instructions for Use documents are the

authorized source of information for VITROS Chemistry Products and VITROS Immunodiagnostic Products.

22 of 22